

Biconditionals

The converse

Given an implication $P \implies Q$, its *converse* is the statement $Q \implies P$.

Statement and Converse are different

If I own a BMW 335xi, then I own a car

- ▶ P is “I own a BMW 335xi”
- ▶ Q is “I own a car”

The converse is “If I own a car, then I own a BMW 335xi”.

$P \implies Q$ is true but $Q \implies P$ is false.

Biconditionals or Equivalence

$P \iff Q$ means “If P , then Q ” AND “If Q , then P ”. It is often read “if and only if” since

- ▶ P if Q means $Q \implies P$
- ▶ P only if Q means $P \implies Q$.

It can also be read “necessary and sufficient” (P is necessary and sufficient for Q).

Truth Table for Equivalence

P	Q	P $\Rightarrow$ Q	$Q \Rightarrow P$	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T

Synonyms

- ▶ P if and only if Q
- ▶ P is necessary and sufficient for Q
- ▶ P is equivalent to Q
- ▶ If P , then Q , and conversely.

$$P \Leftrightarrow Q$$

Sample problem

Put the statement "If $xy = 0$ then $x = 0$ or $y = 0$, and conversely" in the form "P if and only if Q".

$$P: xy = 0$$

$$Q: x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0$$

$$\{xy = 0\} \Leftrightarrow \{x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0\}$$